AL-FARABI KAZAKH NATIONAL UNIVERSITY Faculty of Philology Department of Turkology and Language Theory

PROGRAM OF FINAL EXAMINATION ON THE DISCIPLINE

Code: YaSTc 3301

ID 90900

«Language for special purposes C1»

Educational programme "6B01704 – FOREIGN LANGUAGE: TWO FOREIGN LANGUAGES"

 $\begin{array}{c} Course-3\\ Semester-5\\ Number of credits-5 \end{array}$

Program of final examination on the developed by senior lecturer T.O. Konyrbekov	discipline «Language for special purposes C1» is va
It is based on the working curriculum on educ foreign languages"	ational programme "6B01704-Foreign language: two
Considered and recommended at the meeting \mathbb{N}^{2} .	of the department of Turkology and Language Theory 023
Head of Department	R.A. Avakova

1. THE THEMATIC PROGRAM OF THE DISCIPLINE

The aim of the discipline:

to develop the ability to work with various types of standardized tests that assess the level of English as a foreign language. The course is aimed at mastering the skills of working with tests in 4 aspects: listening, reading, writing and speaking and provides a proper level of knowledge of lexical and grammatical laws of the language.

Expected learning outcomes:

- 1. more detailed description and explanation of phenomena, self-experience, assessment;
- 2. comment on the events;
- 3. explain, justify position, point of view, public speeches, etc.
- 4. The free use of language for international communication, including emotion, allegory, using idiomatic expressions.

Main topics studied on the discipline.

Module 1.

- PC 1. Unit 1 Inspiration. Reading and vocabulary. Challenges. Grammar and listening. The 'we' generation. Rading. Discussion. Debate.
- PC 2. Unit 1 Inspiration. Listening, spaeaking and vocabulary. Do the right thing. Vocabulary with self-; Heroes discussion. Culture, vocabulary and grammar. Belief and commitment. Writing an article "Role models". Vocabulary Insight 1
- PC 3. Unit 2 The world around us. Reading and vocabulary. Real education. Discussion. Debate.
- Grammar and listening. Future tenses. Life on the edge. Dangerous jobs. Discussion. Reading.
- Listening, speaking and vocabulary. Urban stories. Active listening. Deciding on a new community project.
- PC 4. Unit 2 The world around us. Culture, vocabulary and grammar. Songlines. Famous natural aor manmade landmarks in the country. Future time clauses. Writing. Describing a place. Vocabulary Insight 2. Cumulative review of Units 1-2
- PC 5. Unit 3 Things that matter. Reading and vocabulary. Hoaders. The stuff in our lives. Synonyms. Phrasal verbs with out. Grammar and listening. Dterminers. Articles. What's left behind. Speaking, Discussion. Debate.

Module 2.

- PC 6. Unit 3 Things that matter. Listening, speaking and vocabulary. One man's trash ... Discussion Adjectives describing objects. Selecting things to exhibit. Speaking, listening. Culture, vocabulary and grammar. Lost treasures. Writing A story. Lost and found. Vocabulary Insight 3. Phrasal verbs
- PC 7. Unit 4 Mind and body. Reading and vocabulary. Perfect people. Speaking, discussion. Noun suffixes. Used to, get used to or be used to Fact or fiction. Talking about habitual behaviour. Discussion, Debate
- PC 8. Unit 4 Mind and body. Listening, speaking and vocabularu. False value. Active listening. Idioms. Phrases with body parts. Discussing a controversial topic. Listening, Culture, vocabulary and grammar. Frankenstein. Text analysis. Future in the past. Writing. A letter to a newspaper. The value of life. Taking a view and support your ideas. Vocabulary Insight 4. The origins of idioms. Cumulative review. Units 1-4
- PC 9. Unit 5 Words. Reading words, words, words. Strategy Skipping words that you do not understand. Vocabulary Insight. Phrasal verbs with on. Verb prefixes: en and em- Grammar. Avice, obligation and prohibition; Past modals. Listening. Ways of learning
- PC 10. Unit 5 Words. Listening. The future of libraries. Vocabulary. Phrases with pont. Choosing a book for a book club. Reading. Shakespeare. A writer for all time. Strategy. Avoiding repetition Vocabulary Insight 5

Module 3.

PC 11. Unit 6. The media and the message. Who controls the news? Reading. Armed with a smartphone. Vocabulary. Collocations: journalism; word analysis. Grammar. Speculation about the past, present and future. Listening. The big picture. Celebrity Culture and Its Influence on Society

PC 12. Unit 6. The media and the message. Making the headlines. Listening. A new story. Why people want to be famous. Strategy. Adapting to authentic listening situations. Vocabulary. Idioms with in and out. Documentaries. Discourse markers. Reading. Seeing is believing. Truth or lies? Strategy. Creating emphasis. Vocabulary Insight 6

PC 13 Unit 7 That's life. Before I die... Reading. From here to eternity. Strategy. Critical thinking: evaluating pros and cons. Vocabulary. Phrasal verbs with off. Phrases with life. Grammar. Conditionals. Mixed conditionals. Listening. The luckiest man alive? IWST 5. Consultation on the implementation of the final exam

PC 14. Unit 7 That's life. Golden years. Listening. Growing old in different societies. Vocabulary. The old and the young. Discussing old age. Reading. The road not taken by Robert Frost. Grammar. Unreal situations. Writing. Persuasive writing. Making comparisons. Vocabulary Insight 7

PC 15. Revision. Test. Discussion. Debate

List of recommended sources.

Main literature:

- 1. Insight Upper Intermediate Student's Book with Answers with Audio Jane Wildman, 2020.
- 2. Insight Upper Intermediate Student's Book with Answers with Audio Jane Wildman, 2020.
- 3. McCarthy M., O'Dell F.English Vocabulary in Use. New edition. Upper-Intermediate. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2012.
- 4. New headway. Advanced. Student's book. Oxford University Press, 2009.

 New headway. Advanced . Workbook. Oxford University Press, 2009.4. Cambridge IELTS 10 Academic Student's Book with Answers with Audio

Additional literature:

- 1. Stephen Bailey. Academic Writing A Handbook for International Students, Fourth edition, 2015
- 2. Dr Marcell. A Guide for scientific writing, Utrecht University, 2015
- 3. Els Van Geyte. Collins Writing For IELTS. Harper Collins Publishers, 2011
- 4. Karen Kovacs. Collins Speaking For IELTS. Harper Collins Publishers, 2011
- 5. Fiona Aish. Collins Listening For IELTS. Harper Collins Publishers, 2011.

Internet resources:

www.coventry.ac.uk/study-at-coventry/student-support/academic-support/centre-for-academic-writing/support-for-students/academic-writing-resources/

2. METHODOLOGICAL INSTRUCTION FOR FINAL EXAMINATION: STANDARD ORAL EXAMINATION (OFFLINE)

- **2.1. Exam format:** Standard oral examination (offline). **Platform:** IS Univer
- **2.2.** The purpose of the oral examination: to demonstrate the learning outcomes, skills and competencies acquired during the study of the discipline, the ability to logically express one's thoughts out loud, and to argue one's point of view.

2.3. Expected results of the exam tasks:

One oral exam ticket contains 3 questions that identify learning outcomes for the studied course and are assessed according to the following criteria:

Question 1 - Criterion 1. Knowledge of the theory and concept of the course. Criterion 2. Understanding and confirmation with examples of the theoretical principles presented in the course content.

Question 2 - Criterion 3. Application of the selected methodology and technology to specific practical tasks. Criterion 4. Disclosure and solution of the main problem given in the practical task.

Question 3 - Criterion 5. Evaluation and critical analysis of the applicability of the chosen methodology to the proposed practical task. Criterion 6. Justification of the obtained result from one's own practice; ability to conduct scientific discussions.

2.4. The examination procedure.

2.4.1. The standard offline oral exam is conducted in accordance with the approved schedule.

- 2.4.2. The duration of the oral examination should not exceed 6 academic hours per day. However, no more than 25 people per day are allowed to take the oral exam.
- 2.4.3. No more than 5 examinees may be present in the room where the oral examination is being conducted at the same time. The remaining examinees of the current group await an individual invitation outside the exam room without leaving the faculty building.
- 2.4.4. When entering the exam room, the student must provide the examiner with an identification card and sign the appearance form.
- 2.4.5. Standing up and/or changing places, or leaving the classroom before completing your answer to the ticket during the exam is prohibited.
- 2.4.6. When conducting an oral examination, the examination card is chosen by the examinee himself.
- 2.4.7. In preparation for the answer, the student is given sheets for compiling a summary of the answer. The time for students to prepare an oral response is 10 minutes. To defend the answer, the student speaks in front of the examiner for no more than 5 minutes.
- 2.4.8. After announcing his last name, the student begins his answer on the ticket. Each question is scored based on the maximum possible points indicated in the questionnaire.
- 2.4.9. In order to more deeply ascertain the student's level of knowledge, the examiner has the right to ask him additional questions, as well as offer tasks and examples within the framework of the questions on the exam card.
- 2.4.10. During the exam, students are PROHIBITED from carrying and/or using cheat sheets, cell phones, smart watches and other technical and other means that can be used for unauthorized access to auxiliary information.
- 2.4.11. If a student appears for the exam and refuses to answer the ticket, passing the exam will be graded as an "F."
 - 2.4.12. If there is no good reason, failure to appear for the exam will be assessed as an "F".
- 2.4.13. If a student violates one or more of these points, an act of cancellation of the examination work (hereinafter referred to as the Act) is filled out, and a grade of "F" ("unsatisfactory") is assigned for the discipline.
- 2.4.14. For repeated violation of these Rules during the exam, the student is presented for consideration by the Faculty Council on Ethics.
 - 2.4.15. All violations during exams are recorded in the student's transcript.

3. EVALUATION POLICY.

RUBRICTOR FOR CRITERIAL ASSESSMENT OF FINAL EXAMINATION

Discipline: Language for special purposes. Form: Standard oral examination (offline). Platform: IS Univer

№	Scor	Scor DESCRIPTORS					
	e	«Excellent»	«Good» «Satisfactory»		«Unsatisfactory»		
		90-100 %	70-89 %	50-69 %	25-49 %	0-24 %	
	Criterion						
	Knowledge of the theory and concept of the course.	and concepts of the course fully; the answer is presented in literate scientific language, all terms and concepts were used correctly and explained correctly.	In general, the correct answer was given to the question, but with some inaccuracies that are not of a fundamental nature. Not all terms of the course are used correctly; there are some incorrect statements and grammatical/stylistic errors in presentation.	The answer to the question is fragmentary; correct conclusions were interspersed with incorrect ones. The substantive blocks of the course necessary for a full disclosure of the topic were missed.	The answer did not correspond to the content of the question; the significant mistakes were found.	There is no answer to the question; ignorance of educational material was revealed.	
	Understanding and confirmation with examples of the theoretical		The answer was not sufficiently illustrated by examples.	The student generally understands the subject matter of the course, but has problems uncovering specific issues.	Key concepts for the training course contained in the questions are interpreted incorrectly.	Student's misunderstanding of most or most important part educational material. Violation of the Rules for Conducting the Final examination.	
Question 2	Criterion 3. Application of the selected methodology and technology	were applied with deep content, taking into account the specifics of the students' training area.	acquired by the student were poorly integrated and	inaccuracies in the answer, the logic of	Student incorrectly applied the essential part of the discipline, makes significant factual errors that the student cannot correct on his own.	solve assignments and	

						the help of a teacher.
	Criterion 4.	Scientific concepts were	The student's knowledge	Lack of meaningfulness	Student finds it difficult	Student did not fully
	Disclosure and	freely applied to the task at	was adapted; the answers	of the provided material,	to answer most of the	understand the material.
	solution of the	hand, followed by a logical	are weak	there is no understanding	additional questions on	Violation of the Rules
	main problem	and evidence-based	structured, the answer	of interdisciplinary	the content of the exam	for final control.
	given in the	disclosure of the main	contains minor factual	connections.	or does not give the	
	practical task	problem.	errors, which he can		correct answers.	
			correct independently,			
			thanks to a leading			
			question.			
Question 3	Criterion 5.		Integration and critical	Superficial justification	Lack of validity and	Lack of critical analysis
	Evaluating and	critically analyze, integrate,	analysis of the application	of the patterns and	analysis of the	of the applicability of
	critically	validity and analysis of	of methods and course	principles of the course.	application of methods	the methodology to the
			technology followed by		and technology of the	proposed task.
		a specific topic, structuring	the use of visual materials		course.	
		<i>j</i>	to consolidate one's			
		provisions of existing	reasoning through the use			
			of scientific concepts with			
	practical task.	directions on the issue of the	the allowance of minor			
			errors when reproducing			
			knowledge.			
		The answers were illustrated		There was poor	There was	Lack of ability to apply
			of existing theories,	application of the main	demonstration of	course methods when
		materials, including from the		volume of material in	difficulty in providing	giving examples was
		1 ,	directions with	accordance with the	1	revealed. Violation of
			justification of the result	training program with	a reproductive nature.	the Rules for final
			obtained from one's own	difficulties in		examination.
		0 0	practice on the question of	, ,		
			the exam card with some	independently and the		
	discussions		inaccuracies.	requirement of leading questions.		

Formula for calculating the final grade: Final grade (FG) = (%1+%2+%3+%4+%5+%6) / K, where % is the level of task completion by criterion, K is the total number of criteria.

№	Score	«Excellent»	«Good»	«Satisfactory»	«Unsatisfactory»	
		90-100 %	70-89%	50-69%	25-49%	0-24%
	Criterion					
1.	Criterion 1	100				
2.	Criterion 2		75			
3.	Criterion 3			60		
4.	Criterion 4				45	
5.	Criterion 5	100				
6.	Criterion 6				49	
	Final %	200	75	60	94	200+ 75 + 60 + 94 = 429 429 / 6 criteria = 71,5 Final score, as % = 72

Based on percentage obtained during the calculation, we can compare the score with the rating scale.

72 points range from 70 points to 89 points, which corresponds to the "Good" category according to the grading scale.

Thus, with this calculation, the project will be rated **72 points "Good"** in accordance with the point-rating letter system for assessing educational achievements students with their transfer to the traditional grading scale and ECTS.

Lecturer

T.O. Konyrbekova